

COMPLIMENTS OF

(305) 358-2829

33

1 aesthetic value -- I'm not talking about  
 2 prices, because, actually, I think it will  
 3 even affect prices, but aesthetics.  
 4 When I started, I spoke -- I'm not an  
 5 architect. I spoke with several  
 6 architects. I think sometimes -- I mean,  
 7 I'm not an architect, but I like beautiful  
 8 things, and I drove around, and I saw the  
 9 picket fences -- the original American  
 10 picket fences, and I asked an architect,  
 11 "How tall are these?"  
 12 "Three feet tall."  
 13 There's a difference when you drive  
 14 and you see these large lots, even all the  
 15 small lots, with these beautiful lower  
 16 three-foot picket, American, the  
 17 traditional ones.  
 18 I did further research and I found out  
 19 that Miami 21 had actually done some  
 20 research that shows that it is safer to  
 21 have more transparent walls, because what  
 22 happens is that people tend to hide.  
 23 There's a document that -- it's online,  
 24 that I e-mailed to Carlos.  
 25 In conclusion, I feel that I would

34

1 like -- actually, I want all the lots, not  
 2 just the smaller lots, with smaller fences,  
 3 because I think it's prettier, it's less  
 4 massive, it's more open and more friendly  
 5 -- more pedestrian friendly, and that's my  
 6 recommendation.  
 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.  
 8 So now we're back to the motion that  
 9 was seconded. What was the motion again?  
 10 MR. SALMAN: To defer.  
 11 MR. COE: Defer.  
 12 THE CHAIRMAN: Defer.  
 13 MR. COE: I seconded the motion. Call  
 14 the question, Mr. Chairman.  
 15 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. No -- any  
 16 discussion on the motion? Hearing no  
 17 discussion, we'll bring a vote on that,  
 18 please.  
 19 MS. MENENDEZ: Pat Keon?  
 20 MS. KEON: Yes.  
 21 MS. MENENDEZ: Javier Salman?  
 22 MR. SALMAN: Yes.  
 23 MS. MENENDEZ: Eibi Aizenstat?  
 24 MR. AIZENSTAT: Yes.  
 25 MS. MENENDEZ: Robert Behar?

35

1 MR. BEHAR: Yes.  
 2 MS. MENENDEZ: Jack Coe?  
 3 MR. COE: Yes.  
 4 MS. MENENDEZ: Jeff Flanagan?  
 5 MR. FLANAGAN: Yes.  
 6 MS. MENENDEZ: Tom Korge?  
 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.  
 8 The next item on the agenda --  
 9 actually, the last item on the agenda, is  
 10 the Coral Gables Comprehensive Land Use  
 11 Plan and Map.  
 12 MR. RIEL: Thank you, Mr. Chair.  
 13 I have about a 35-minute presentation  
 14 I'd like to go through. No, I --  
 15 MR. SALMAN: Yeah, what you put us  
 16 through last time?  
 17 MS. HERNANDEZ: There's a motion to  
 18 defer on the table.  
 19 MR. FLANAGAN: Second.  
 20 MR. RIEL: The video crew has to come  
 21 in and set it up, so -- no, actually, I  
 22 just would like to make some brief  
 23 comments, about five or ten minutes.  
 24 MR. COE: Don't we have to reconvene  
 25 as an LPA?

36

1 THE CHAIRMAN: I don't know. Do we  
 2 have to close and reconvene or how does  
 3 this work?  
 4 MR. COE: I think we have to reconvene  
 5 as an LPA.  
 6 MR. RIEL: You're actually convening  
 7 as both, the LPA and the Planning and  
 8 Zoning Board.  
 9 MS. HERNANDEZ: Yeah.  
 10 MR. COE: Okay. You agree, we don't  
 11 have to reconvene?  
 12 MS. HERNANDEZ: I agree with  
 13 Mr. Riel's legal interpretation.  
 14 MR. COE: Okay.  
 15 MR. RIEL: In June of this year, we  
 16 brought for you the updated Comprehensive  
 17 Plan. It went to the City Commission.  
 18 They recommended approval in July.  
 19 After that time, we submitted it to  
 20 the State for review, and as is required,  
 21 we're required to send it to other  
 22 agencies, Department of Education, DEP,  
 23 FDOT, Department of Historical Resources,  
 24 South Florida Regional Planning Council,  
 25 Water Management District and the County

37

1 Planning and Zoning Department.  
2 We submitted it to them, got comments  
3 back, and I will tell you that in  
4 comparison to other comments other local  
5 governments received, we received very  
6 minimal comments, and partly due because of  
7 the fact that we had worked with the DCA  
8 well in advance of the submission of the  
9 comments.

10 They did have some additional  
11 information that they asked us to include.  
12 If you look at Attachment D in your packet,  
13 it's kind of a blue -- blue document, we  
14 actually -- we took the DCA letter and we  
15 responded to each one of their comments.

16 Just pretty much, in summary, I'd just  
17 like to go through them very, very briefly.  
18 They asked for some additional mapping,  
19 which we accommodated. They asked for some  
20 additional -- an objective, that we  
21 actually had omitted from the housing  
22 element, they asked that that be  
23 reinserted, not a problem.

24 They asked for a coastal high hazard  
25 area map, define evacuation time frames,

38

1 more specific information -- probably the  
2 more substantial comments were on the green  
3 element. They wanted more specifics, and  
4 it's kind of surprising, the fact that this  
5 is actually an optional element. We're  
6 actually, probably -- and I don't know this  
7 for sure, but I think we're the only local  
8 government in the State that submitted a  
9 green element. So I was kind of surprised  
10 to get those initial comments, but,  
11 obviously, I guess, if you submit  
12 something, you, you know, expect to get  
13 comments, so we got comments.

14 So I went and updated it. A lot of  
15 the specifics, I put in there, a lot of  
16 things the City's already doing or we're in  
17 the progress -- process of doing those  
18 things.

19 Additional information was included in  
20 the education element. Most of those  
21 changes were done, because while we were in  
22 the adoption phase, there were changes that  
23 were suggested by the State. So to kind of  
24 catch up and be ahead of the ball, we  
25 included those -- those additional items,

39

1 as well.

2 The natural resources element was  
3 updated, and then we did get comments from  
4 the County, and, unfortunately, they were  
5 submitted after the time period. However,  
6 in cooperation with our local County  
7 government, we addressed those issues, as  
8 well.

9 So kind of in summary, we addressed  
10 all the comments. Staff's recommending  
11 approval. All the comments are in the --  
12 the white binder. They're in double  
13 underline or actually shaded, the new  
14 update, in terms of the comments.

15 After the LPA or the Planning and  
16 Zoning Board considers it, it's going to  
17 the Commission on the 17th for adoption.  
18 It's transmitted to DCA. They have to  
19 publish a notice of intent. There's an  
20 appeal period. With those time frames, we  
21 expect -- we hope that it will be adopted  
22 in January next year.

23 And, basically, just in closing, I  
24 want to say thank you to the Corradino  
25 Group, specifically Scarlet Tenen, who

40

1 helped us a lot, and also I'd like to say a  
2 special thanks to Scot Bolyard, our planner  
3 on staff. A lot of the mapping that we've  
4 completed within this document was done  
5 in-house, and if we had to go outside to do  
6 this, there would have been a substantial  
7 cost, but for the most part, between the  
8 Corradino Group, and, you know, the  
9 Planning Department, as well as other City  
10 departments, I think it was a very good  
11 update. It was a very smooth process, and,  
12 you know, it's never been -- the whole plan  
13 has never really been updated. We got --  
14 you know, we worked out some issues with  
15 some neighborhood groups. We accommodated  
16 a lot of their comments.

17 So with that, Staff is recommending  
18 approval, and just for the record, I just  
19 want to read the ordinances into the  
20 record.

21 It's an ordinance adopting the annual  
22 capital improvements element. The second  
23 ordinance is adopting the ten-year water  
24 supply facilities work plan. The third  
25 ordinance is adopting the Evaluation and

41

1 Appraisal Report based amendments, which is  
 2 basically the document in, you know, the  
 3 white binder, and also adoption --  
 4 readopting the Comprehensive Plan Map, as  
 5 well as the three changes in land use  
 6 classifications that we identified at the  
 7 June/July meeting, and basically Staff  
 8 recommends approval. We hope the Board  
 9 endorses the recommendations.

10 THE CHAIRMAN: Eric, are there any  
 11 changes in the report, in response to the  
 12 State and County requests, that you  
 13 consider material or significant enough for  
 14 us to focus particularly on?

15 MR. RIEL: No. They -- I mean, most  
 16 of their comments were relative to the fact  
 17 that obviously they're not familiar with  
 18 the Code. They asked for some additional  
 19 mapping and to clarify some thresholds.

20 One or two of the items, I didn't  
 21 agree with, because it's in another -- they  
 22 asked for some information to be put on the  
 23 maps. Well, it's in the document, and we  
 24 didn't necessarily feel it was appropriate  
 25 to put it on the map. I mean, we're the

42

1 folks that obviously work with it on a  
 2 daily basis, obviously, you know, working  
 3 with property owners and developers, so,  
 4 you know, there's some issues that I  
 5 consider so, so minor that we didn't agree  
 6 with, but I don't see them objecting.

7 THE CHAIRMAN: My concern was that  
 8 we're -- we might be -- my interest was  
 9 whether we're making any changes of  
 10 significance, that we should, you know,  
 11 think about and be sure.

12 Now, I went through everything, and I  
 13 can't remember every detail of the -- of  
 14 the original Comprehensive Plan. So if  
 15 there was something that jumps out at you,  
 16 that you think we should focus on, we need  
 17 to know now.

18 MR. RIEL: No. Yeah, you don't have  
 19 to -- there's nothing that really jumps  
 20 out, you know. Again, these comments were  
 21 relatively minor and Scarlet does a lot  
 22 of -- Corradino Group does a lot of Comp  
 23 Plans from other local governments, so  
 24 she's familiar with a lot of the issues,  
 25 and, you know, Scarlet can certainly come

43

1 up and indicate, but from her standpoint,  
 2 she feels those comments are relatively  
 3 minor.

4 THE CHAIRMAN: Well, I guess, there's  
 5 nobody here from the public that wants to  
 6 speak on this.

7 MR. COE: Mr. Chairman, I just have a  
 8 -- I want to get on the record, and get an  
 9 opinion from the City Attorney, before we  
 10 continue and vote on this.

11 I represent, individually and  
 12 corporately, Josefina Ramirez. I see that  
 13 there's a package portion of this, which I  
 14 noticed when I reviewed this over the  
 15 weekend, dealing with the Riviera  
 16 Neighborhood Association. I have no  
 17 dealings with that, she's the President,  
 18 but I have private dealings.

19 MS. HERNANDEZ: Right.

20 MR. COE: Is there a conflict or not?

21 MS. HERNANDEZ: Okay. First of all,  
 22 what Judge Coe is referencing is an October  
 23 of 2009 letter from Ms. Josie Ramirez, in  
 24 her capacity as President of the Riviera  
 25 Neighborhood Association. You will recall

44

1 that as part of the process that the Board  
 2 went through, they were wanting the Board  
 3 to adopt Comp Plan amendments and  
 4 guidelines requiring the City to be bound  
 5 by neighborhood studies that were  
 6 conducted, and part of a letter, in the  
 7 package, has to do with that particular  
 8 proposal and her request, on behalf of the  
 9 Riviera Neighborhood Association.

10 Judge, do you represent the Riviera  
 11 Neighborhood Association?

12 MR. COE: No.

13 MS. HERNANDEZ: Okay. And -- so any  
 14 decisions or discussions relating to this  
 15 issue before the Board today would not  
 16 impact your association with Ms. Ramirez or  
 17 what you were retained by her to do,  
 18 correct?

19 MR. COE: Correct.

20 MS. HERNANDEZ: So you have no  
 21 conflict and you are required to  
 22 participate in the proceedings here today.

23 THE CHAIRMAN: As I was saying,  
 24 there's nobody from the public, I see, who  
 25 wants to speak on this. Any discussion or

45

1 questions from the Board members?  
 2 MS. KEON: I have a question.  
 3 THE CHAIRMAN: Pat.  
 4 MS. KEON: I noticed the water, so do  
 5 we have the ability, as a municipality, in  
 6 working with the County, in the Water  
 7 Department, to deal with issues like water  
 8 reuse or is that solely the province of the  
 9 County?  
 10 MS. HERNANDEZ: Say that again, issues  
 11 of water what?  
 12 MS. KEON: Water reuse. You know,  
 13 that -- because we have some very large --  
 14 I mean, we have two golf courses -- we have  
 15 more, because we have Deering Bay.  
 16 MS. HERNANDEZ: Right.  
 17 MS. KEON: We have some very -- and  
 18 UM. We have some very large open space,  
 19 green areas, that are irrigated with  
 20 drinking water.  
 21 MR. RIEL: You're talking about gray  
 22 water, use of gray water?  
 23 MS. KEON: Yeah. You know, which  
 24 would be a great project for this City to  
 25 undertake, for the irrigation of those

46

1 spaces that we wouldn't be using drinking  
 2 water for --  
 3 MS. HERNANDEZ: The County and the  
 4 State regulations are what apply to this  
 5 City, and Public Works makes sure that we  
 6 follow the rules and regulations, but --  
 7 MS. KEON: I mean -- right, but I know  
 8 that the County is -- I think there's a  
 9 discussion about it, but I don't know that  
 10 there's any actual reuse going on yet. I  
 11 think I know there was discussion about  
 12 maybe on Key Biscayne or something --  
 13 MR. SALMAN: (Inaudible). And Key  
 14 Biscayne.  
 15 MS. KEON: -- to do a reuse study. I  
 16 wondered if part -- you know, part of  
 17 our -- you know, that -- I would think that  
 18 that would be a very good thing for the  
 19 City of Coral Gables. Is there a way --  
 20 MR. RIEL: I believe there's goals and  
 21 objectives in here that deal with that, but  
 22 I -- if I'm -- and Scarlet can correct me  
 23 if I'm -- I mean, I don't think it's  
 24 available, in close proximity -- in other  
 25 words, that gray water line is available,

47

1 and I'm going back, you know, five, six  
 2 years, I remember the issue came up --  
 3 MS. KEON: Right.  
 4 MR. RIEL: -- but, you know, I don't  
 5 think there's been --  
 6 MS. KEON: No, I don't think it is,  
 7 either. I don't think --  
 8 MR. RIEL: I don't think it is  
 9 anywhere close to being -- in other words,  
 10 the extension of those lines, that would be  
 11 effective or cost effective for the City to  
 12 do.  
 13 MS. KEON: Well, I think the only  
 14 place that they're -- they are putting in  
 15 those lines at the moment was Key Biscayne;  
 16 is that right?  
 17 MR. SALMAN: Correct.  
 18 MS. KEON: I think it was only Key  
 19 Biscayne, but I would think that, you know,  
 20 it would be -- there aren't the lines, but  
 21 I think it would be something that would be  
 22 a good thing for this City to enter into  
 23 discussion with the County as to the  
 24 potential use of gray water for irrigating  
 25 those -- particularly for irrigating those

48

1 large public areas.  
 2 MS. HERNANDEZ: Right. Ms. Keon, if  
 3 you want to, we can always invite -- you  
 4 know, and we have in the past, invited  
 5 County representatives to discuss  
 6 initiatives that this Board may wish to,  
 7 you know, discuss and perhaps make  
 8 recommendations to the City Commission.  
 9 We're always happy to reach out and -- you  
 10 know, the City of Coral Gables is always at  
 11 the forefront of these issues.  
 12 MR. SALMAN: Uh-huh.  
 13 MS. HERNANDEZ: So all I can tell you  
 14 is that the County does preempt a lot of  
 15 the issues. They do enforce -- Public  
 16 Works works with them, but if you want to  
 17 hear from them as to what programs are  
 18 available, that we might be able to partner  
 19 with them on, we're happy to reach out and  
 20 bring speakers to you and look at that.  
 21 MS. KEON: I just think that will be a  
 22 very -- I think that for our long range  
 23 planning, it would be a very good program  
 24 for us to be able to at least consider it  
 25 in the City of Coral Gables. I just think

49

1 it would be a good thing for us to consider  
 2 and to see if there is the potential for us  
 3 to develop that with the County, and it  
 4 could be through grants or whatever else  
 5 may qualify --  
 6 MS. HERNANDEZ: Right. If you want  
 7 to, Mr. Chairman, if you, too, Mr. Riel and  
 8 I can follow-up with Ms. Keon and then  
 9 reach out to somebody, you know, at the  
 10 County, to come and discuss with us, in a  
 11 public forum, because you have definitely  
 12 more knowledge than I do, on the issues,  
 13 and then perhaps maybe there is something  
 14 that we can apply for and move forward on,  
 15 but, you know, again, it's up to the --  
 16 MR. BEHAR: You may consider, Pat,  
 17 putting some incentives --  
 18 MS. HERNANDEZ: Right.  
 19 MR. BEHAR: -- for the Biltmore, for  
 20 the Riviera, where --  
 21 MS. KEON: And Deering Bay.  
 22 MS. HERNANDEZ: Deering Bay.  
 23 MR. BEHAR: So they could --  
 24 MS. KEON: Fairchild.  
 25 MS. HERNANDEZ: Right.

50

1 MS. KEON: I mean, we have huge --  
 2 MR. BEHAR: City wide, it would be  
 3 very difficult --  
 4 MS. HERNANDEZ: So we could be more  
 5 environmentally sensitive, too.  
 6 (Simultaneous speaking.)  
 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Let me bring a little  
 8 dose of reality to this. I talked to the  
 9 superintendent about this very issue at  
 10 Riviera, and he said that unfortunately  
 11 they can't use gray water, because --  
 12 although it will work fine for most  
 13 landscaping, for those grasses, it just  
 14 doesn't work.  
 15 (Inaudible.)  
 16 THE CHAIRMAN: It would be a real cost  
 17 saver for me.  
 18 MR. COE: And that's exactly what the  
 19 Biltmore people said, too, because I've  
 20 also inquired about that.  
 21 MS. KEON: Oh, have you?  
 22 MR. COE: Yeah. See, that's the  
 23 problem, because --  
 24 MS. KEON: Okay. That's what they  
 25 said, but if the County -- I mean, if you

51

1 talk to the County and the people at DERM  
 2 or whatever --  
 3 MS. HERNANDEZ: Right.  
 4 MS. KEON: I mean, I don't know what --  
 5 MS. HERNANDEZ: What she's saying is,  
 6 let's hear the other side of the issue and  
 7 that's, you know --  
 8 MS. KEON: Yeah.  
 9 MR. COE: The problem is, you know, in  
 10 the summertime, the water -- over the  
 11 wintertime, the water restrictions -- it's  
 12 not a problem in the summer. In the  
 13 wintertime, with the water restrictions,  
 14 golf courses, county wide, are barren,  
 15 because you can't water them and they  
 16 cannot use gray water. It's this -- it's  
 17 this --  
 18 MS. KEON: Well, but maybe that's the  
 19 discussion we have to have, that in order  
 20 to effectively use gray water, it has to be  
 21 able to be used on those types of things.  
 22 So what can be done in the processing of  
 23 that gray water that would allow it to be  
 24 used? Because maybe it's an opportunity  
 25 that would be there for us and isn't there

52

1 for us for maybe very -- a very simple  
 2 thing, and I don't know that, because I  
 3 don't know the processes that they go  
 4 through in order to create it. I don't  
 5 know what elements they can, you know, sift  
 6 out or --  
 7 MR. BEHAR: Well, it would have to be  
 8 treated.  
 9 MS. KEON: Right.  
 10 MR. BEHAR: They have to treat the  
 11 water, before they can reuse it.  
 12 MS. KEON: That's right, but I don't  
 13 know --  
 14 MR. BEHAR: It's doable. It's doable,  
 15 but --  
 16 MR. AIZENSTAT: Right.  
 17 MS. KEON: I mean, I would think it  
 18 could be doable.  
 19 MR. BEHAR: It's doable.  
 20 MR. COE: It's treatable, but not  
 21 drinkable.  
 22 MS. KEON: Well, that's right, and I  
 23 don't know why you couldn't irrigate --  
 24 MR. BEHAR: That was part of my lead  
 25 question, my lead exam. That came out.

53

1 MR. SALMAN: Did you pass?  
 2 MR. BEHAR: I did pass.  
 3 (Simultaneous speaking.)  
 4 MS. KEON: You know, I don't want to  
 5 make everybody sit here and listen to that,  
 6 if there's not an interest.  
 7 MR. RIEL: Well, let me make this  
 8 comment. I mean, obviously --  
 9 MS. KEON: Yeah.  
 10 MR. RIEL: -- this is a large  
 11 document, and our ten-year water supply  
 12 facilities work plan, which is one of the  
 13 things you're adopting via the ordinance,  
 14 there's a reuse section in here that  
 15 basically will support the Water Management  
 16 District on Miami-Dade County water reuse  
 17 projects, and there's a terminology, "The  
 18 City of Coral Gables supports water reuse  
 19 initiatives under consideration by both,  
 20 the District and the County. The County is  
 21 committed to implement a total of 170 MGD  
 22 of water use -- water reuse as noted in the  
 23 County's 20-year water use permit," and I  
 24 won't go in -- I mean, in terms of -- you  
 25 know, reuse to recharge aquifer, and

54

1 apparently that reuse irrigation projects  
 2 are anticipated in North and Central  
 3 District Water Treatment Plants for the  
 4 cities of North Miami, North Miami Beach  
 5 and currently under construction in Key  
 6 Biscayne.  
 7 So those are the only three local  
 8 governments that have it at this time. So  
 9 we do have goals and objectives, and it's  
 10 actually in our water supply plan, which is  
 11 required to be adopted by the State. So  
 12 it's in there.  
 13 MS. KEON: I know it's in there, but I  
 14 think sometimes bringing it to a forefront  
 15 is a matter of having --  
 16 MS. HERNANDEZ: Right, but it's up to  
 17 the Board. You tell us what to do, and  
 18 we'll do whatever you want.  
 19 THE CHAIRMAN: Does the Board want to  
 20 -- first, is that within our purview? Is  
 21 that within our jurisdiction as a Board to  
 22 --  
 23 MR. RIEL: Sure.  
 24 MS. HERNANDEZ: Absolutely.  
 25 Absolutely, as an outreach, part of some

55

1 goals and objectives that you're  
 2 recommending that the Commission adopt.  
 3 THE CHAIRMAN: Secondly, would the --  
 4 just real quickly, yes or no, anybody want  
 5 to -- would you like to hear this at  
 6 another time?  
 7 MR. COE: I have no objection.  
 8 MR. BEHAR: Yeah, sure.  
 9 MR. SALMAN: Absolutely.  
 10 THE CHAIRMAN: I guess the consensus  
 11 is, yes.  
 12 MR. RIEL: What we'll do is, we'll  
 13 work with Ms. Keon and then we'll create  
 14 maybe a white paper or something like that  
 15 and I'll put it on the agenda and then we  
 16 can decide how to go forward.  
 17 MR. SALMAN: I want to --  
 18 MR. RIEL: Okay. You want to be  
 19 involved?  
 20 MR. SALMAN: I want to be in on that  
 21 one. I'll tell you why. There's a lot of  
 22 very simple and very low costs policies --  
 23 MS. HERNANDEZ: Right.  
 24 MR. SALMAN: -- that could be adopted,  
 25 and just off the top of my head, any kind

56

1 of water main work that needs to be done,  
 2 you include just a -- future lines  
 3 transmission of gray water, purple pipes.  
 4 It's very easily doable.  
 5 MS. HERNANDEZ: Right.  
 6 MR. BEHAR: I think if you provided  
 7 some incentives for these places to be able  
 8 to capture some of that, it will be done.  
 9 I think the problem right now is that they  
 10 feel that the cost that they have to incur  
 11 doesn't make sense, but if there's some  
 12 incentives --  
 13 MS. KEON: But we don't know what  
 14 could be available. You don't know --  
 15 (Simultaneous speaking.)  
 16 MS. HERNANDEZ: Exactly. It's just an  
 17 educational issue right now.  
 18 MS. KEON: So I think it's a matter of --  
 19 THE CHAIRMAN: Speaking of  
 20 educational, I'll tell you that there's a  
 21 move in DERM to force the golf courses to  
 22 use the sewage treatment facilities,  
 23 instead of simply using septic tanks on the  
 24 property, which would be a much more cost  
 25 efficient way of treating the water.

57

1 MS. KEON: Right.  
 2 MS. HERNANDEZ: So, I mean, there are  
 3 a lot of issues relating to that, that all  
 4 tie in together in terms of the cost.  
 5 Any way --  
 6 MS. HERNANDEZ: Instead of golf shoes,  
 7 you're going to be wearing rain boots.  
 8 MS. KEON: But also, then, we have --  
 9 so much of our golf courses or whatever or  
 10 gardens and things like that, you know,  
 11 maybe there has to be something in the  
 12 treatment process that allows it to be used  
 13 in that manner.  
 14 MR. BEHAR: Right. It's a great idea.  
 15 MR. SALMAN: Very good, Pat.  
 16 MS. HERNANDEZ: Okay. We'll  
 17 definitely follow up on it.  
 18 THE CHAIRMAN: Is there any more  
 19 discussion or comments or questions about  
 20 the Comprehensive Plan before us right now?  
 21 Do we need a motion -- we need more  
 22 than one motion?  
 23 MR. RIEL: Yes. I mean, I would  
 24 suggest four separate motions.  
 25 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Do you want to

58

1 tell us what the first one would be?  
 2 MR. COE: We have to do --  
 3 MR. RIEL: I have a suggestion.  
 4 THE CHAIRMAN: What's the first?  
 5 MR. RIEL: Basically just read off the  
 6 subject matter.  
 7 MR. COE: We've got to read all of them.  
 8 THE CHAIRMAN: Who's going to make the  
 9 motion?  
 10 MR. FLANAGAN: Which one? Number 1?  
 11 I move to adopt the annual update to  
 12 the Capital Improvements Element within the  
 13 City's Comp Plan.  
 14 MR. SALMAN: Second it.  
 15 THE CHAIRMAN: The motion is seconded.  
 16 Any discussion? Hearing no discussion,  
 17 let's call the roll on the first motion.  
 18 MS. MENENDEZ: Javier Salman?  
 19 MR. SALMAN: Yes.  
 20 MS. MENENDEZ: Eibi Aizenstat?  
 21 MR. AIZENSTAT: Yes.  
 22 MS. MENENDEZ: Robert Behar?  
 23 MR. BEHAR: Yes.  
 24 MS. MENENDEZ: Jack Coe?  
 25 MR. COE: Yes.

59

1 MS. MENENDEZ: Jeff Flanagan?  
 2 MR. FLANAGAN: Yes.  
 3 MS. MENENDEZ: Pat Keon?  
 4 MS. KEON: Yes.  
 5 MS. MENENDEZ: Tom Korge?  
 6 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.  
 7 Motion Number 2.  
 8 MR. SALMAN: Go ahead, Jeff.  
 9 MR. FLANAGAN: I move that we adopt  
 10 the ten-year water supply facilities work  
 11 plan and amend the Comp Plan to promote and  
 12 facilitate better coordination between  
 13 water supply and local land use planning,  
 14 et cetera, as listed on Item Number 2.  
 15 MR. BEHAR: Second.  
 16 THE CHAIRMAN: Motion and a second.  
 17 Any discussion?  
 18 No discussion, let's call the roll, please.  
 19 MR. COE: Call the question.  
 20 MS. MENENDEZ: Eibi Aizenstat?  
 21 MR. AIZENSTAT: Yes.  
 22 MS. MENENDEZ: Robert Behar?  
 23 MR. BEHAR: Yes.  
 24 MS. MENENDEZ: Jack Coe?  
 25 MR. COE: Yes.

60

1 MS. MENENDEZ: Jeff Flanagan?  
 2 MR. FLANAGAN: Yes.  
 3 MS. MENENDEZ: Pat Keon?  
 4 MS. KEON: Yes.  
 5 MS. MENENDEZ: Javier Salman?  
 6 MR. SALMAN: Yes.  
 7 MS. MENENDEZ: Tom Korge?  
 8 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.  
 9 Jeff, you're on a roll.  
 10 MR. FLANAGAN: Oh, me again?  
 11 I move to adopt the EAR based  
 12 amendments and various updates of the  
 13 Goals, Objectives and Policies, and the  
 14 newly created elements as listed on Item  
 15 Number 3.  
 16 MR. SALMAN: Second.  
 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Motion and a second.  
 18 Any discussion? No discussion, would you  
 19 call the roll, please?  
 20 MS. MENENDEZ: Robert Behar?  
 21 MR. BEHAR: Yes.  
 22 MS. MENENDEZ: Jack Coe?  
 23 MR. COE: Yes.  
 24 MS. MENENDEZ: Jeff Flanagan?  
 25 MR. FLANAGAN: Yes.

61

1 MS. MENENDEZ: Pat Keon?  
 2 MS. KEON: Yes.  
 3 MS. MENENDEZ: Javier Salman?  
 4 MS. SALMAN: Yes.  
 5 MS. MENENDEZ: Eibi Aizenstat?  
 6 MR. AIZENSTAT: Yes.  
 7 MS. MENENDEZ: Tom Korge?  
 8 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.  
 9 Finally.  
 10 MR. FLANAGAN: I move that we readopt  
 11 the Comp Plan Amendment and the change of  
 12 land use map, designations -- I'm not going  
 13 to read all of that -- all as listed in  
 14 Item Number 4.  
 15 THE CHAIRMAN: Is there a second?  
 16 MR. SALMAN: Second.  
 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Any discussion?  
 18 MR. BEHAR: Is this only limited to  
 19 those three properties?  
 20 MR. RIEL: And I -- that's what I  
 21 meant -- I'll make a point --  
 22 MR. SALMAN: Yes.  
 23 MR. RIEL: It's readopting of the Comp  
 24 Plan Map in its entirety, as well as the  
 25 three properties.

62

1 MS. HERNANDEZ: As well as -- those  
 2 were the only changes we were recommending  
 3 at this time.  
 4 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. And the three  
 5 properties are listed in our agenda, under  
 6 items 4A, B and C, correct?  
 7 MS. HERNANDEZ: Correct.  
 8 MR. RIEL: Correct.  
 9 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Any further  
 10 discussion of the question?  
 11 Hearing none, we'll call the roll on that.  
 12 MS. MENENDEZ: Jack Coe?  
 13 MR. COE: Yes.  
 14 MS. MENENDEZ: Jeff Flanagan?  
 15 MR. FLANAGAN: Yes.  
 16 MS. MENENDEZ: Pat Keon?  
 17 MS. KEON: Yes.  
 18 MS. MENENDEZ: Javier Salman?  
 19 MR. SALMAN: Yes.  
 20 MS. MENENDEZ: Eibi Aizenstat?  
 21 MR. AIZENSTAT: Yes.  
 22 MS. MENENDEZ: Robert Behar?  
 23 MR. BEHAR: Yes.  
 24 MS. MENENDEZ: Tom Korge?  
 25 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.

63

1 Eric, do you have anything else for us?  
 2 MR. RIEL: No. If you -- we'd like to  
 3 get your -- the Comp Plans back, unless  
 4 you'd like to keep it, because we'd like to  
 5 recycle them for the Commission.  
 6 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.  
 7 MR. COE: With the water?  
 8 MR. RIEL: Yes.  
 9 No, nothing else, Mr. Chairman.  
 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Next meeting is next  
 11 year or --  
 12 MR. COE: Is our December meeting at  
 13 the usual date or are we going to have a  
 14 different date this time?  
 15 MR. RIEL: It's set at the usual date.  
 16 I don't expect it to change.  
 17 MR. SALMAN: Only if I get the final  
 18 Comp Plan --  
 19 THE CHAIRMAN: We're adjourned.  
 20 (Thereupon, the meeting was adjourned at  
 21 6:55 p.m.)  
 22  
 23  
 24  
 25

64

C E R T I F I C A T E

1  
 2  
 3 STATE OF FLORIDA:  
 4 SS.  
 5 COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE:  
 6  
 7  
 8  
 9 I, NIEVES SANCHEZ, Court Reporter, and a  
 10 Notary Public for the State of Florida at Large, do  
 11 hereby certify that I was authorized to and did  
 12 stenographically report the foregoing proceedings  
 13 and that the transcript is a true and complete  
 14 record of my stenographic notes.  
 15  
 16 DATED this 6th day of November, 2009.  
 17  
 18  
 19  
 20 \_\_\_\_\_  
 21 NIEVES SANCHEZ  
 22  
 23  
 24  
 25